

# Childhood Lead Poisoning in Saint Louis: Snapshots and Trends

## Childhood Lead Poisoning in Saint Louis, 2006


ZIP CODE	Population <6 Years	Number Screened	Percent Screened	Number ≥ 10 mcg/dl	SPR (%)
63102	16	6	37.5%	1	16.7%
63107	1498	793	52.9%	114	14.4%
63120	1196	556	46.5%	57	10.3%
63118	3313	1628	49.1%	157	9.6%
63113	1255	648	51.6%	61	9.4%
63112	1757	989	56.3%	93	9.4%
63115	2059	988	48.0%	91	9.2%
63108	738	322	43.6%	29	9.0%
63110	1857	652	35.1%	48	7.4%
63103	118	58	49.2%	3	5.2%
63111	2015	948	47.0%	48	5.1%
63116	4282	1630	38.1%	76	4.7%
63147	923	491	53.2%	22	4.5%
63106	1466	833	56.8%	34	4.1%
63104	2014	811	40.3%	32	3.9%
63101	89	85	95.5%	3	3.5%
63109	2155	532	24.7%	9	1.7%
63139	1556	408	26.2%	6	1.5%
Fringe ZIPS	757	381	50.3%	8	2.1%
<b>City Total</b>	<b>29064</b>	<b>12759</b>	<b>43.9%</b>	<b>892</b>	<b>7.0%</b>

\*Data is preliminary pending finalization from MO DHSS  
 \*\*Population data based on 2006 estimates by Claritas, Inc.  
 \*\*\*Listed ZIP codes lay entirely within STL city limit  
 \*\*\*\*Fringe ZIP codes have a small portion of their residents inside city limits  
 Fringe ZIP Codes are: 63105, 63117, 63119, 63123, 63125, 63130, 63133, 63136, 63137, 63138, 63143

ZIP	Testing Ratio	SPR
63107	1.21	14.38%
63120	1.06	10.25%
63118	1.12	9.64%
63113	1.18	9.41%
63112	1.29	9.40%
63115	1.10	9.21%
63108	1.00	9.01%
63110	0.80	7.36%
63103	1.12	5.17%
63111	1.08	5.06%
63116	0.87	4.66%
63147	1.22	4.48%
63106	1.30	4.08%
63104	0.92	3.95%
63109	0.56	1.69%
63139	0.60	1.47%

ZIP	Testing Ratio	SPR
63106	1.30	4.08%
63112	1.29	9.40%
63147	1.22	4.48%
63107	1.21	14.38%
63113	1.18	9.41%
63118	1.12	9.64%
63103	1.12	5.17%
63115	1.10	9.21%
63111	1.08	5.06%
63120	1.06	10.25%
63108	1.00	9.01%
63104	0.92	3.95%
63116	0.87	4.66%
63110	0.80	7.36%
63139	0.60	1.47%
63109	0.56	1.69%

- disproportionately testing more children
- proportional testing of children
- disproportionately testing fewer children



The screened prevalence rate has been steadily falling since the year 2000  
 The number of housing units remediated has risen every year since 2000  
 The testing ratio shows that children are disproportionately tested in the north as well as in areas of greater concern  
 The screened prevalence rate would likely be lower if 100% of children were tested or if worse areas were not targeted